

SENS4ICE

SENSORS AND CERTIFIABLE HYBRID ARCHITECTURES FOR SAFER AVIATION IN ICING ENVIRONMENT

Hybrid & Indirect Ice Detection evaluation FINAL DISSEMINATION EVENT OF SENSAICE PROJECT

SAFRAN Aerosystems & DLR

Directorate General for Research and Innovation, Brussels, Belgium - 29 November 2023

This project has received funding from European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement n° 824253





Context and objectives



An overview of Hybrid Detection approach

Direct ice detection

Local detection (ice detector): presence of ice accretion/icing condition.



Hybrid ice detection

Combination of Direct and Indirect Detection.

- Flight safety increase.
- Better availability.
- False alarms reduction.
- Detailed information about the icing encounter.
- Continuous monitoring of A/C performance.
- Pilots better reaction.

Indirect ice detection

<u>Global detection</u>: effects of ice accretion.



SENS4ICE goals

- To define the specifications and needs for Hybrid Detection approach
- To develop Hybrid Ice Detection System (HIDS) demonstrator for FT campaign



Hybrid Ice Detection System design



HIDS development phases



HIDS Functions and Simulink model



Why SIMULINK?

- 1. Easy prototyping environment.
- 2. The **IID** model provided by DLR is a **protected Simulink model**: a compatible execution environment was required.
- Simulink models are supported by dSpace MicroAutobox (hardware chosen for HIDS). dSpace tools allow to convert Simulink HIDS model into a *real-time code* by adding specific libraries Ethernet UDP protocol, chosen for FT data transmission.





dSPACE MAB III and Configuration desk (credit SAFRAN)



Insight on Arbitration function

Aim of Arbitration function: to extract a single, consistent output from Direct and Indirect detections!



Insight on Arbitration function

Aim of Arbitration function: to extract a single, consistent output from Direct and Indirect detections!





Indirect Ice Detection function design



Performance-Based Ice Detection

Core of the SENS4ICE Indirect Ice Detection (IID)

Flight Performance = Nominal Aircraft Performance



- production tolerances
- aircraft skin repairs
- aircraft skin contamination, e.g., dirt
- engine aging causing reduced efficiency
- or engine contamination
- "Variation to be detected":
 - \rightarrow subject to the indirect ice detection approach





Performance Based (Indirect) Ice Detection

Abnormal Aircraft Performance Monitoring:

Total Energy:

$$E_{tot} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot m_{AC} \cdot V_{TAS}^2 + m_{AC} \cdot g \cdot H$$

Power Imbalance:

$$\dot{E}_{tot} = V_{TAS} \cdot \dot{V}_{TAS} \cdot m_{AC} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot V_{TAS}^2 \cdot \dot{m}_{AC} + g \cdot \dot{H} \cdot m_{AC} + g \cdot H \cdot \dot{m}_{AC}$$



Performance variation as equivalent drag coefficient

$$\Delta C_{\widetilde{D}} \approx \frac{\dot{E}_{tot,ref} - \dot{E}_{tot}}{V_{TAS} \cdot \overline{q} \cdot S}$$

with $\dot{E}_{tot,ref}$ subject to further corrections

Credit: DLR

Flight Performance Reference Data Base

- Reference data required to compute the reference power imbalance $\dot{E}_{tot,ref}$
- Must include the aircraft performance
 - e.g., via multi-dimensional model for $\dot{E}_{tot,ref}$ (e.g. table)
 - ♦ aerodynamic reference and engine thrust model
 → used for SENS4ICE
- Reference could be based on flight data or only preliminary design data for new aircraft
- For SENS4ICE flight test:
 - Specific adaption of reference required due to significant aircraft modifications



drag coefficient





HIDS Flight Test Architectures



Embraer Phenom300 - HIDS FT architecture



SAFIRE ATR42 - HIDS FT architecture





Flight Test Results



Quicklook on HIDS/IID behaviour





Flight 1475 – 2: DIDS & IID detection in App C conditions





Flight 1475 – 2: HIDS arbitration results for each DID/IID couple

HIDS Arbitration (only if WoW = 0): Synthetic output obtained by combining DIDS outputs with IID_ICE_V

IID ICE Valid = IID reliable + TAT<5°C

Possible Outputs:

• 2: SEVERE ICE

- *IAR_{DIDS}* > 1.25 mm/min *LWC_{DIDS}* >1.2 kg/m3
- 1: ICE
- 0: NO ICE
- <0: APP 0

No delay applied to DIDS detection ($\Delta t = 0$)





Flight 1476 – 1: DIDS & IID detection in App O conditions





Flight 1476 – 1: HIDS arbitration results for each DID/IID couple





29 November **% 20** 2023



Flight as230018: reference probes and A/C data

Several icing conditions encountered

- ➢ 9 activations of IPS
- 20 RICE detections
- > 251 Ref. probes detections
 - SLD presence
 - Ice Crystals presence
 - Lower LWC w.r.t. US FT campaign

Difficult characterization of lcing Conditions!



Flight as230018: ice detection results





SENS4ICE, EU-funded project, Grant Agreement No 824253



ONERA AMPERA DLR LILD INTA FOD

Example on detailed indirect ice detection results

Flight as230018: single icing encounter





nominal drag estimation,

%C_{D0}

+25%

threshold nominal

 $C_{D0,ref}$

29 November ° 23 2023

Example on detailed indirect ice detection results





29 November % 24 2023



Conclusions and Outlook



SENS4ICE, EU-funded project, Grant Agreement No 824253

Conclusions and Outlook (1)

- HIDS challenging development and testing, with large amount of different data to process and dependency on the aircraft type and architecture
- Very promising flight tests results: Good system behaviour during FT
- Benefits of hybridization:
 - Early detection and continuous performance monitoring (residual ice),
 - Potential optimization of Ice Protection.
- \rightarrow Hybrid Ice Detection approach achieved a TRL5

Ways forward:

- ♦ Flight Testing: understanding actual SLD appearance during flight and specific impact on aircraft flight characteristics → more complete assessment of technologies and definition of required acceptable means of compliance for potential certification.
- Approach: investigation of HIDS enhancements with an optimize selection of direct sensors and exploitation of HIDS data in tight collaboration with aircraft manufactures
- Airworthiness: a new certification approach to be developed in collaboration with certification authorities.



Conclusions and Outlook (2)

- ♦ Indirect ice detection methodology based on an aircraft performance degradation
 → one key to success for SENS4ICE
- several advantages compared to direct detection (mainly complementary), e.g.,
 - retrofit capabilities (simple software solution)
 - \blacklozenge highly beneficial information about the remaining aircraft capabilities \rightarrow safe exit strategy
- ♦ Indirect ice detection provides redundancy for ice detection when hybridized
 → reduced risk for common cause failures
- Novel ice detection and chance for treatment of icing hazard in aviation including small-size vehicles of general aviation or drones

Ways forward:

- Flight Testing: further investigation of specific icing characteristics for using indirect detection output for icing severity assessment
- System: technology transfer to other vehicles operating in different icing environments
- Airworthiness: definition of certification strategy for indirect ice detection as stand-alone system



This project has received funding from European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement n° 824253.

If not acknowledged, images courtesy of the consortium partners.

This presentation reflects only the consortium's view. The European Commission and the European Climate, Infrastructure and Environment Executive Agency (CINEA) are not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains.

> Visit our website <u>www.sens4ice-project.eu</u> and Linkedin #sens4iceproject

SENS4ICE



Indirect Ice Detection function design

Performance Variation of SENS4ICE Flight Test Benches

Big data analysis using fundamental engineering knowledge (<u>smart data approach</u>):

- operational flights similar to SENS4ICE target application
- only standard instrumentation as source of information

Conclusions:

 → monitoring of aircraft flight performance using the regular sensors possible
 → level of precision allows detection of performance degradation induced by ice accretion at a very early stage



 $\begin{array}{c} & & \text{best fit drag polar}(\mathcal{P}_0) & \cdots & \mathcal{P}_{90} \\ & & & \mathcal{P}_{99} & & & \mathcal{P}_{100} \end{array}$



ATR 42-320 flight test bench (European flight test campaign)





drag coefficient



Detection Threshold and Confirmation Time

Abnormal flight performance

- airframe ice accretion persistent,
- ♦ degradation constantly increasing
 → indirect ice detection



- Detection threshold on the equivalent drag coefficient
 - → significant degradation and critical for safe flight
 - \rightarrow earlier if possible
- Detection based on relative value with based zero-lift drag coefficient
 - \rightarrow nominal case: relative value with no additional drag
 - \rightarrow estimated drag increase $\Delta C_{\widetilde{D}}$ in % $C_{D0,ref}$
- Confirmation time for detection required to prevent false alarms by measured performance fluctuations
- Weighted moving averages used for filtering and confirmation

	SAFIRE ATR 42-320	Embraer Phenom 300
detection threshold as relative drag coefficient increase	10% (15%)	10%
confirmation timeframe for detection (threshold exceeded more than 50%)	20 s	20 s
confirmation time for reset (threshold undershot more than 50%)	180 s	180 s





HIDS validation and laboratory tests

HIDS validation and laboratory tests



bench: the HIDS Monitor PC application!

(CVI, National Instrument)

HIDS validation and laboratory tests



